DGMC – Human Research Final Report



1. DATE: 5 May 2014

2. Protocol Number: FDG20100017H

3. Title: Incidence of Venous Thromboembolism (VTE) and Effect of Thrombosis Prophylaxis Guidelines in Patients Transported Aeromedically

4. Risk: Greater than Minimal Risk X Minimal Risk

5. Date of Approval: 18 May 2010

6. Start Date: 15 Nov 2010

7. Study Staff

Name	Rank	Study Role	Date of Investigator Training	Staff/ Resi dent/ Fello w/ Civil ian	Dept/ Office Symbol	Phone	E-mail
Kohler, Maria	Maj	PI	17 Oct 2011	Staff	SGHI	423- 3713	maria.kohler@us.af.mil
Hatzfeld, Jennifer	LtCol	AI	2 Mar 2011	Staff	USAMRMC	301- 619- 0236	jennifer.j.hatzfeld.mil@ mail.mil
Dukes, Susan	Lt Col	AI	5 Dec 2011	Staff	USAFSAM / FHC	937- 656- 8482	susan.dukes@ wpafb.af.mil

8. Study Status:

(Check one only)

Inactive, protocol never initiated

X Inactive, protocol initiated but has not/will not be completed

All approved procedures/uses have been completed

9. Number of Subjects Entered into the Study: For multiple sites, add rows to the table below for each site.

	Number approved to enroll	Number enrolled	Withdrawals
Number of subjects enrolled at DGMC	N/A	N/A	N/A
Number of subjects enrolled at	N/A	N/A	N/A
Data from patients transported aeromedically	Approx. 40,000	65,536	N/A

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

1. REPORT DATE 05 MAY 2014	2. REPORT TYPE Final	3. DATES COVERED 18 May 2010 - 05 May 2014
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
FDG20100017H Incidence of venous the of thrombosis prophylaxis guidelines in	5b. GRANT NUMBER	
aeromedically.	5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S) Lt Col Jennifer Hatzfeld, Maj Maria K	Kohler, Lt Col Susan Dukes	5d. PROJECT NUMBER FDG20100017H
		5e. TASK NUMBER
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND AD Clinical Investigation Facility David G Circle Travis AFB, CA 94535	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) A	10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
Clinical Investigation Facility David G Circle Travis AFB, CA 94535	11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	

12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

14. ABSTRACT

Initial data on patients with existing VTE was received from USTRANSCOM in 2010, but did not include data on the other patients. This was addressed by granting the PI permission to use TRAC2ES. Eventually, the TRAC2ES data was received via secure website. With an approved TMA DSA in place (approved 23 Jan 2013), the M2 data was extracted and compiled by the Knowledge Center. In the process, it was learned that the M2 data prior to year 2007 was not accessible and the TRACES data only included years 2002- 2009. All data was stored on an approved external drive. The two data sets (M2 and TRACES) for the years 2007, 2008 and 2009 were merged successfully. Merger was done with SSN, FMP, and DOB. Based on the analysis, the main finding is that the U.S. military personnel (including active duty, guard and reserve members) age 25-31 that were transported aeromedically during 2007-2009 were at higher risk for developing a VTE than members age 18-21, keeping rank and sex constant. In conclusion, this study did not meet the proposed objectives. The analysis of the merged data revealed duplicate records (mostly in M2 data set) or missing information (mostly in TRACES data base). This posed difficulty in performing an efficacious analysis and providing answers to study questions.

15. SUBJECT TERMS

U.S. Air Force, Medical Service, Medical Research, Graduate Medical Education

16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	CATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	SAR	4	RESI ONSIBLE I ERSON

Kohler/Hatzfeld

DGMC Human Final Report Template

Protocol little: Incidence of VIE and Effect of	t
Thrombosis Prophylaxis Guidelines in Patients	S
Transported Aeromedically	
FDG# FDG20100017H	

	tted to MTFs Approx. Approx. N/A 500,000 600,000						
The study had Adverse Eve Yes X All adverse, serious adverse	d Problems: No onts: No e and unexpe No or reported ur protocol dev	cted events were rep X N/A nanticipated problem	orted IAW S	nd non-	serious a	adverse events,	
Type of Date of Event* Event	Date	Description of Event	Site of Ev		Outcom	ne	
NONE	Reported	Event	(for mult	isite)			
9.2. Summary of Withdra	the subject w	he Study: If none o	occurred, st ollow-up, de	ate NC	ONE. List or any of	st all subjects who ther reasons from	
9.2. Summary of Withdra withdrew (please specify if your study)	the subject w	he Study: If none o	occurred, st llow-up, de	ate NC ceased	ONE. List or any of	st all subjects who ther reasons from	
9.2. Summary of Withdra withdrew (please specify if your study) For the Entire Study Chro Date of Subject	the subject w	he Study: If none of withdrew, is lost to for	occurred, st ollow-up, de	ate NC ceased	ONE. List or any of	st all subjects who ther reasons from	
9.2. Summary of Withdra withdrew (please specify if your study) For the Entire Study Chro Date of Subject Withdrawal Number NONE 9.3. Consent Process: N/A 10. Study Deviations Have any minor non-compl Have any serious non-compl List any instances of non-complex controls.	nwals from to the subject we conclude the subject with the subject with the subject we conclude the subject with th	he Study: If none of withdrew, is lost to for withdrawal f informed consent was occurred?	ollow-up, de	ceased	or any of	Yes X No	
9.2. Summary of Withdra withdrew (please specify if your study) For the Entire Study Chro Date of Subject Withdrawal Number NONE 9.3. Consent Process: N/A 10. Study Deviations Have any minor non-completion of the process of the	nwals from to the subject we conclude the subject with the subject with the subject we conclude the subject with th	he Study: If none of withdrew, is lost to for withdrawal f informed consent was occurred?	ollow-up, de	ceased	or any of	☐ Yes · X No	
9.2. Summary of Withdra withdrew (please specify if your study) For the Entire Study Chro Date of Subject Withdrawal Number NONE 9.3. Consent Process: N/A 10. Study Deviations Have any minor non-compl Have any serious non-compl List any instances of non-complex controls.	nwals from to the subject we occurred in Study:	he Study: If none of withdrew, is lost to for withdrew, is lost to for withdrawal for Withdrawal for consent was occurred? soccurred? inor or serious	vas granted l	by the	(RB)	☐ Yes · X No	

DGMC Human Final Report Template

List all complaints about your study, for the Entire study. If no complaints occurred, state NONE and delete the table below. Do not use N/A

For the Entire Study Chronologically

Date of Complaint	Reason for Complaint
NONE	

12. Amendments:

List all amendments/changes made to the protocol, Informed Consent or investigator's brochure. **IF none occurred, state NONE. Do not use N/A.**

For the Entire Study Chronologically

Date of Change	Date of Approval	Summary of the Change
6 Jan 2012	18 Jan 2012	Addition of Maj Sue Dukes as an AI.
20 Jan 2012	21 Feb 2012	Change in PI from Maj Jennifer Hatzfeld to Maj Maria Kohler due to deployment.

13. Funding: N/A; there was no funding requested/obtained to complete this research protocol.

14. Summary of Research Findings:

Initial data on patients with existing VTE was received from USTRANSCOM in 2010, but did not include data on the other patients. This was addressed by granting the PI permission to use TRAC2ES. Eventually, the TRAC2ES data was received via secure website. With an approved TMA DSA in place (approved 23 Jan 2013), the M2 data was extracted and compiled by the Knowledge Center. In the process, it was learned that the M2 data prior to year 2007 was not accessible and the TRACES data only included years 2002- 2009. All data was stored on an approved external drive.

The two data sets (M2 and TRACES) for the years 2007, 2008 and 2009 were merged successfully. Merger was done with SSN, FMP, and DOB.

Data analysis (using STATA statistical software):

	fy		sum(num)	sum(denom)
	2007	1	85	2833
	2008	ĺ	46	2104
	2009	ĺ	61	2027
20000				

. poisson num a2-a4 r2-r4 sex, exposure(denom) irr

Iteration 0: log likelihood = -94.857155 Iteration 1: log likelihood = -94.792536 Iteration 2: log likelihood = -94.79247 Iteration 3: log likelihood = -94.79247

DGMC Human Final Report Template

Poisson regre	N	umber	of obs	= 81		
		LF	chi2(7)	= 22.10	
		Pr	ob > ch	ni2	= 0.0024	
Log likelihood	= -94.7924	7 Ps	seudo F	12	= 0.1044	
num	IRR	Std. Err.	Z	P> z	[95% Conf	. Interval]
age 22-24	1.294108	.3389849	0.98	0.325	.7744668	2.162412
age 25-31	1.889428	.480855	2.50	0.012	1.147365	3.111424
age 32+	1.678688	.4585076	1.90	0.058	.9828283	2.86723
rank E5-E9	1.287155	.2302374	1.41	0.158	.9065128	1.827629
rank 01-03	1.561388	.4690277	1.48	0.138	.8665972	2.813226
rank 04-06	.7306002	.3902467	-0.59	0.557	.2564569	2.08135
sex	1.496067	.4158372	1.45	0.147	.867674	2.57956
_cons	.0112987	.0036968	-13.70	0.000	.0059501	.0214552
In(denom)	1 (exp	posure)				

Kev:

Age comparison group = age 18-21

Rank comparison group = rank E1-E4

Based on the analysis, the main finding is that the U.S. military personnel (including active duty, guard and reserve members) age 25-31 that were transported aeromedically during 2007-2009 were at higher risk for developing a VTE than members age 18-21, keeping rank and sex constant.

In conclusion, this study did not meet the proposed objectives. The analysis of the merged data revealed duplicate records (mostly in M2 data set) or missing information (mostly in TRACES data base). This posed difficulty in performing an efficacious analysis and providing answers to study questions.

15. Publications and Presentations for this research study:

List all presentations Authored by study staff (Include lectures, abstracts, posters, etc.), for the Entire study. For RTOG and other national collaborations please include publications and presentations directly tied to the approved protocol only.

Date	Authors	Title
NONE		

16. Signature of Principal/Associate Investigator:

MARIA R. KOHLER

Principal Investigator

Signature

26 May 2014 Date